arXiv:1205.5126v3 [math.DS] 12 Nov 2012

GROUP EXTENDED MARKOV SYSTEMS, AMENABILITY, AND THE PERRON-FROBENIUS OPERATOR

JOHANNES JAERISCH

ABSTRACT. Using a recent result of Stadlbauer for group extensions of Hölder continuous potentials on a countable Markov shift, we characterise amenability in terms of the spectral radius of the Perron-Frobenius operator associated to the group extended Markov system. This generalises a result of Day for random walks on groups. Moreover, we show that if the potential has symmetry with respect to the group, then the spectral radius is given by the Gurevič pressure of the potential.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Kesten ([Kes59a]) has characterised amenability of discrete groups in terms of the growth rate of return probabilities for a symmetric random walk. Recently, Stadlbauer ([Sta11]) has extended this theorem to group extensions of Markov shifts. His main result splits up into two parts and reveals an asymmetry in the assumptions. In this short note, we aim to resolve this issue by proving a refinement of his result.

Throughout, our setting is as follows.

1. Σ is a Markov shift with finite or countable alphabet I and left shift $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$.
2. $\Psi : I^* \to G$ is a semigroup homomorphism from the free semigroup I^* to a countable discrete G, such that for all $a, b \in I$ there exists $\gamma \in \Psi^{-1}\{\mathrm{id}\} \cap \Sigma^* \cup \{\varnothing\}$ such that $a\gamma b \in \Sigma^*$.
3. $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ denotes a Hölder continuous potential.

The skew product dynamics $(\Sigma \times G, \sigma \rtimes \Psi)$ given by

$$\sigma \rtimes \Psi : \Sigma \times G \to \Sigma \times G,\ (\sigma \rtimes \Psi)(\omega, g) := (\sigma(\omega), g\Psi(\omega_1)),\ (\omega, g) \in \Sigma \times G,$$

is called a *group extended Markov system*. Let $\pi_1 : \Sigma \times G \to \Sigma$ and $\pi_2 : \Sigma \times G \to G$ denote the projections to the first and to the second factor, respectively. We use $\mathscr{P}(\varphi, \sigma)$ and $\mathscr{P}(\varphi \circ \pi_1, \sigma \rtimes \Psi)$ to denote the Gurevič pressure of φ with respect to σ, and the Gurevič pressure of $\varphi \circ \pi_1$ with respect to $\sigma \rtimes \Psi$, respectively (see [Sar99]). We say that Σ has BIP if Σ satisfies the big images and preimages property (see [Sar03]). If Σ has BIP and $\mathscr{P}(\varphi, \sigma) < \infty$, then there exists a unique σ-invariant Gibbs measure μ_φ for φ, which is supported on Σ. In this situation, the following Banach spaces were introduced in [Sta11] for $p \in \{1, \infty\}$:

$$\mathscr{H}_p := \left\{ f : \Sigma \times G \to \mathbb{R} : |f|_p < \infty \right\}, \quad \text{where } |f|_p := \left(\sum_{g \in G} \left(\| f(\cdot, g) \|_{L^p(\Sigma, \mathscr{B}(\Sigma), \mu_\varphi)} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi \circ \pi_1} : \mathscr{H}_\infty \to \mathscr{H}_\infty$ denote the Perron-Frobenius operator of $\varphi \circ \pi_1$ with respect to the skew product dynamics $\sigma \rtimes \Psi$, which is for each $h \in \mathscr{H}_\infty$ and $x \in \Sigma \times G$ given by $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi \circ \pi_1}(h)(x) := \sum_{y \in (\sigma \rtimes \Psi)^{-1}(x)} \mathrm{e}^{\varphi \circ \pi_1(y)} h(y)$. We have that $\mathscr{L}_{\varphi \circ \pi_1}$ is a bounded linear operator and we denote this operator by $\mathscr{L} := \mathscr{L}_{\varphi \circ \pi_1}$. The spectral radius of $\mathscr{L}$ is denoted by $\rho(\mathscr{L})$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37D35, 43A07, 37C85.

Key words and phrases. Group extended Markov system, amenability, Perron-Frobenius operator, spectral radius, Gurevič pressure.

The author was supported by the research fellowship JA 2145/1-1 of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

We will also need the following notions of symmetry.

Definition 1.1. We say that φ is *asymptotically symmetric with respect to* Ψ ([Jae11a, Definition 4.16]) if there exist sequences $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the property that $\lim_n (c_n)^{1/n} = 1$, $\lim_n n^{-1}N_n = 0$ and such that, for each $g \in G$ and for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma^n\cap\Psi^{-1}(g)} \mathrm{e}^{S_\omega\varphi} \leq c_n \sum_{\omega\in\Sigma^*\cap\Psi^{-1}\left(g^{-1}\right):\, n-N_n\leq|\omega|\leq n+N_n} \mathrm{e}^{S_\omega\varphi}.$$

We say that φ is *compactly asymptotically symmetric with respect to* Ψ if there exists a sequence $(\Sigma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of compact, σ-invariant and mixing subshifts of Σ, such that $\bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\Sigma_k = \Sigma$, the subset $\Psi\left(\Sigma_k^*\right)$ forms a subgroup of G, and $\varphi_{|\Sigma_k}$ is asymptotically symmetric with respect to $\Psi_{|\Sigma_k^*}$.

Remark 1.2. If $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a weakly symmetric Hölder continuous potential of a symmetric group extension $(\Sigma\times G, \sigma \rtimes \Psi)$ in the sense of Stadlbauer ([Sta11]), then φ is both, asymptotically symmetric and compactly asymptotically symmetric with respect to Ψ.

Stadlbauer ([Sta11]) made use of the following inequality

$$\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} n^{-1}\log\Lambda_n \leq \mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Lambda_n := \sup_{f\in\mathscr{H}_c, |f|_1=1} |\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_1$ and $\mathscr{H}_c$ is the closed subspace of $\mathscr{H}_\infty$ generated by $\left\{\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{g\}} : g\in G\right\}$. He showed that if G is amenable and if φ is weakly symmetric, then equality holds in (1.1). Conversely, he showed that if equality holds in (1.1), then G is amenable.

The main result of this paper is the following refinement.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that* Σ *has BIP,* $\Psi(\Sigma^*) = G$ *and* $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) < \infty$*. Then, the following holds.*

1. $\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) \leq \log\rho(\mathscr{L}) \leq \mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)$.
2. *We have*
$$\log\rho(\mathscr{L}) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) \text{ if and only if } G \text{ is amenable.}$$
3. *If* φ *is asymptotically symmetric with respect to* Ψ*, then*
$$\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) = \log\rho(\mathscr{L}).$$

Theorem 1.3 (2) provides a generalisation of a result of Day on random walks on groups ([Day64, Theorem 1]), in which amenability of G is characterised in terms of the spectral radius of a convolution operator acting on $l^2(G)$. In our theorem this operator is replaced by the Perron-Frobenius operator $\mathscr{L} : \mathscr{H}_\infty \to \mathscr{H}_\infty$. Note that in the setting of Day, we have that φ is normalised, that is $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) = 0$. Combining with Theorem 1.3 (3), we obtain a generalisation of Kesten's characterisation of amenability in terms of the growth rate of return probabilities (see [Kes59b, Kes59a]).

As a consequence of the main theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4.

1. *If* Σ *has BIP,* $\Psi(\Sigma^*) = G$ *and* $\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) < \infty$*, then* G *is amenable.*
2. *If* G *is amenable and if either* φ *is compactly asymptotically symmetric with respect to* Ψ*, or if* Σ *has BIP,* $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) < \infty$ and φ *is asymptotically symmetric with respect to* Ψ*, then* $\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)$.

The following example shows that in general, the inequality $\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) \leq \log\rho(\mathscr{L})$ can be strict.

Example 1.5. Let $I := \{\pm 1\}$ and consider the full shift $\Sigma := I^{\mathbb{N}}$ and the group extended Markov system given by the canonical semigroup homomorphism $\Psi : I^* \to (\mathbb{Z}, +)$. For real numbers $c_1 \neq c_{-1}$ let $\varphi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi := c_1 \mathbb{1}_{[1]} + c_{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[-1]}$. Then, $\mathscr{P}(\varphi \circ \pi_1, \sigma \rtimes \Psi) < \log \rho(\mathscr{L}) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi, \sigma) = 0$.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is a recent result of Stadlbauer ([Sta11, Lemma 5.3, Theorem 5.4]) and a result of Day ([Day64, Theorem 1]). The first assertion of the corollary was proved in [Sta11, Theorem 5.4]. The second assertion of the corollary was proved for weakly symmetric potentials φ with finite pressure in [Sta11, Theorem 4.1], and for asymptotically symmetric potentials on a finite state Markov shift in [Jae11b, Theorem 5.3.11].

2. PROOFS

Let us first fix some notation. For a subspace $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{H}_\infty$, we set $\mathscr{V}^+ := \{f \in \mathscr{V} : f \geq 0\}$. For a bounded linear operator $T : \mathscr{V} \to \mathscr{V}$, the operator norm of T is denoted by $\|T\|_{B(\mathscr{V})} := \sup_{f \in \mathscr{V}, |f|_\infty = 1} |T(f)|_\infty$. Also, recall that Λ_n is for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ given by $\Lambda_n := \sup_{f \in \mathscr{H}_c, |f|_1 = 1} |\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_1$.

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 we have that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} \log \Lambda_n = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{-1} \log \Lambda_n = \log \rho(\mathscr{L}).$$

Proof. We first observe that $\|\mathscr{L}^n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)} = \sup\{|\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_\infty : f \in \mathscr{H}_c^+, |f|_\infty = 1\}$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, we have $|f|_1 \leq |f|_\infty$, for each $f \in \mathscr{H}_\infty$, and we have $|f|_1 = |f|_\infty$, for each $f \in \mathscr{H}_c$. Combining these observations shows that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\|\mathscr{L}^n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)} = \sup\left\{|\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_\infty : f \in \mathscr{H}_c^+, |f|_1 = 1\right\} \geq \sup\left\{|\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_1 : f \in \mathscr{H}_c^+, |f|_1 = 1\right\} = \Lambda_n.$$

It remains to show that there exists a constant $C > 1$, such that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^+$, we have

$$|\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_\infty \leq C |\mathscr{L}^n(f)|_1. \tag{2.1}$$

We will show that the estimate in (2.1) holds for the bounded distortion constant

$$C := \sup\left\{ \mathrm{e}^{S_n\varphi(y)} / \mu([\omega]) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \omega \in \Sigma^n, y \in [\omega] \right\} < \infty.$$

To prove this, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in \mathscr{H}_c^+$. For each $g \in G$ and for each $x_0 \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathscr{L}(f)(\cdot, g)|_\infty &= \sup_{\tau \in \Sigma} \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma^n : \omega\tau \in \Sigma} \mathrm{e}^{S_n\varphi(\omega\tau)} f\left(x_0, g\Psi(\omega)^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma^n} \sup_{x \in [\omega]} \mathrm{e}^{S_n\varphi(x)} f\left(x_0, g\Psi(\omega)^{-1}\right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma^n} \mu([\omega]) f\left(x_0, g\Psi(\omega)^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Another short computation shows that for each $g \in G$ and for each $x_0 \in \Sigma$ we have

$$\int \mathscr{L}^n(f)(\cdot, g)\, d\mu = \sum_{h \in G} \int f(x_0, h) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma \times \{g\}} \circ (\sigma \rtimes \Psi)^n(\cdot, h)\right) d\mu = \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma^n} \mu([\omega]) f\left(x_0, g\Psi(\omega)^{-1}\right).$$

By combining our previous two estimates, the inequality in (2.1) follows. We have thus shown that $\Lambda_n \leq \|\mathscr{L}^n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)} \leq C\Lambda_n$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally, applying Gelfand's formula for the spectral radius gives

$$\rho(\mathscr{L}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathscr{L}^n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)}^{1/n} = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathscr{L}^n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)}^{1/n},$$

which finishes the proof of the lemma. □

We define the bounded linear operator $A:\mathscr{H}_\infty\to\mathscr{H}_\infty$, which is for $f\in\mathscr{H}_\infty$ given by

$$A(f):=\sum_{g\in G}\left(\int f(\cdot,g)\,d\mu_\varphi\right)\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{g\}}.$$

Note that $A(\mathscr{H}_\infty)\subset\mathscr{H}_c$, $\|A\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_\infty)}=1$, $A_{|\mathscr{H}_c}=\mathrm{id}$ and $|A(f)|_\infty=|f|_\infty$, for each $f\in\mathscr{H}_\infty^+$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us first verify that we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathscr{L}_\varphi\mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}$ and hence, $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)=0$. Otherwise, since Σ has BIP and $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)<\infty$, there exists $h:\Sigma\to\mathbb{R}^+$ Hölder continuous, which is bounded away from zero and infinity, such that $\mathscr{L}_\varphi h=\mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)}h$ and we may consider $\tilde{\varphi}:=\varphi+\log h-\log h\circ\sigma-\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)$, which satisfies $\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}}\mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}$ and $\mathscr{P}(\tilde{\varphi},\sigma)=0$. Furthermore, we have $\mathscr{P}(\tilde{\varphi}\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)=\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)-\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)$. Since $\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}\circ\pi_1}(f)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)}\frac{1}{h\circ\pi_1}\mathscr{L}_{\varphi\circ\pi_1}(h\circ\pi_1)$, for each $f\in\mathscr{H}_\infty$, and using that $h\circ\pi_1$ is bounded away from zero and infinity, we obtain that $\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}\circ\pi_1}$ and $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)}\mathscr{L}_{\varphi\circ\pi_1}$ have the same spectrum. Hence, we have $\log\rho\left(\mathscr{L}_{\tilde{\varphi}\circ\pi_1}\right)=\log\rho\left(\mathscr{L}_{\varphi\circ\pi_1}\right)-\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma)$. We have thus shown that we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathscr{L}_\varphi\mathbb{1}=\mathbb{1}$.

The proof of (1) follows immediately by combining (1.1) and Lemma 2.1.

We now turn to the proof of the assertion in (2). First suppose that $\rho(\mathscr{L})=1$. By Lemma 2.1 we have that $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Lambda_n^{1/n}=1$. It follows from [Sta11, Lemma 5.3, Theorem 5.4] that G is amenable. In fact, the assumption $\rho:=\mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)}=1$ in [Sta11, Lemma 5.3] can be relaxed to $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Lambda_n^{1/n}=1$ without affecting the proof. Now suppose that G is amenable. By a well-known result of Day ([Day64, Theorem 1(d)]), we conclude that, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the operator $T_n:=A\mathscr{L}^n_{|\mathscr{H}_c}:\mathscr{H}_c\to\mathscr{H}_c$ satisfies $\|T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}=1$. Since $|A(f)|_\infty=|f|_\infty$, for each $f\in\mathscr{H}_\infty^+$, we conclude that $\Lambda_n=1$. Hence, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\Lambda_n^{1/n}=1$. Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $\rho(\mathscr{L})=1$.

Let us now turn our attention to the proof of (3). Suppose that φ is asymptotically symmetric with respect to Ψ. Using that $|A(f)|_\infty=|f|_\infty$, for each $f\in\mathscr{H}_\infty^+$, we conclude that we have $\|T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}=\Lambda_n$, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$. We will now verify that $\limsup_{n\to\infty}\|T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}^{1/n}\le\mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)}$. Since $T_n^*T_n$ is a self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_c$, an argument of Pier ([Pie84, pp. 196-202]), which was used by Gerl ([Ger88, p. 177], cf. [Woe00, Lemma 10.1]), shows that

$$\|T_n^*T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}=\limsup_{k\to\infty}\left(\left(T_n^*T_n\right)^k\left(\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}}\right),\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}}\right)^{1/k},\tag{2.2}$$

where we have set $(f_1,f_2):=\sum_{g\in G}f_1(g)\,f_2(g)$, for all $f_1,f_2\in\mathscr{H}_c$. A simple calculation shows that for all $g,g'\in G$ we have

$$\left(T_n\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{g\}},\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{g'\}}\right)=\int\mathscr{L}^n\left(\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{g\}}\right)(\cdot,g')\,d\mu_\varphi=\sum_{\omega\in\Sigma^n:g\Psi(\omega)=g'}\mu_\varphi([\omega]).\tag{2.3}$$

Combining this with our assumption that φ is asymptotically symmetric with respect to Ψ, there exist sequences $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(N_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with the property that $\lim_n c_n^{1/n}=1$ and $\lim_n n^{-1}N_n=0$, such that for all $f_1,f_2\in\mathscr{H}_c^+$ we have

$$\left(T_n(f_1),f_2\right)\le c_n\sum_{i=-\min\{n-1,N_n\}}^{N_n}\left(T_{n+i}^*(f_1),f_2\right).\tag{2.4}$$

Since Σ has BIP, we conclude that the elements $\gamma\in\Psi^{-1}\{\mathrm{id}\}\cap\Sigma^*$ of our standing assumption (2) can be chosen from a finite set, and we let l denote the maximal word length of the elements of this set. Combining with the bounded distortion property of φ, it follows from (2.3) that there is a constant $D\ge1$, such that for all $r,s \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $f_1, f_2 \in \mathscr{H}_c^+$ we have

$$(T_r T_s(f_1), f_2) \le D \sum_{i=0}^{l} (T_{r+s+i}(f_1), f_2). \tag{2.5}$$

We now follow [Jae11a, Proposition 3.11] which was motivated by [OW07]. Combining (2.2) (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_n^* T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)} \\ \le\; & \limsup_{k\to\infty} \left(c_n^k \left(\sum_{i_1=-\min\{n-1,N_n\}}^{N_n} (T_{2n+i_1}) \cdots \sum_{i_k=-\min\{n-1,N_n\}}^{N_n} (T_{2n+i_k}) \right) \left(\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}}\right), \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}} \right)^{1/k} \\ \le\; & c_n \limsup_{k\to\infty} \Bigg(\big((2N_n+1)^k D^{2k} (l+1)^{2k} \max_{r=-k\min\{N_n,(n-1)\},\dots,kN_n+2kl} \left\{ \left(T_{2nk+r}\left(\mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}}\right), \mathbb{1}_{\Sigma\times\{\mathrm{id}\}}\right)\right\} \Bigg)^{1/k}. \end{aligned}$$

The previous estimate implies that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}^{1/n} \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\max\{ \mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1)2n+N_n+2l}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1)2n-N_n} \} \right)^{1/2n} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi)}.$$

We have thus shown that $\limsup_n \Lambda_n^{1/n} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|T_n\|_{B(\mathscr{H}_c)}^{1/n} = \mathrm{e}^{\mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)}$. Finally, applying Lemma 2.1 completes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The assertion in (1) follows by combining Theorem 1.3 (1) and (2).

We now turn to the proof of (2). First suppose that φ is compactly asymptotically symmetric with respect to Ψ. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\varphi_k := \varphi_{|\Sigma_k}$. Since each Σ_k is constructed over a finite alphabet, Σ_k has BIP and we have $\mathscr{P}\left(\varphi_k, \sigma_{|\Sigma^k}\right) < \infty$. Further, since $G_k := \Psi\left(\Sigma_k^*\right)$ is a subgroup of the amenable group G, we have that G_k is amenable. Moreover, we have that φ_k is asymptotically symmetric with respect to $\Psi_{|\Sigma_k^*}$. Hence, $\mathscr{P}(\varphi_k\circ\pi_1, \sigma\rtimes\Psi) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi_k,\sigma)$ by Theorem 1.3(2). Combining with the well-known fact that $\lim_k \mathscr{P}(\varphi_k\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi) = \mathscr{P}(\varphi\circ\pi_1,\sigma\rtimes\Psi)$, the result follows. In the remaining case that Σ has BIP, $\mathscr{P}(\varphi,\sigma) < \infty$ and φ is asymptotically symmetric, we observe that $G' := \Psi(\Sigma^*)$ is a subgroup of G. Thus, G' is amenable. Now, the claim follows again from Theorem 1.3 (2). □

REFERENCES

[Day64] M. M. Day, *Convolutions, means, and spectra*, Illinois J. Math. **8** (1964), 100–111. MR 0159230 (28 #2447)

[Ger88] P. Gerl, *Random walks on graphs with a strong isoperimetric property*, J. Theoret. Probab. **1** (1988), no. 2, 171–187. MR 938257 (89g:60216)

[Jae11a] J. Jaerisch, *Fractal models for normal subgroups of Schottky groups*, arXiv:1106.0026v1 (2011).

[Jae11b] ———, *Thermodynamic formalism for group-extended Markov systems with applications to Fuchsian groups*, Doctoral Dissertation at the University Bremen (2011).

[Kes59a] H. Kesten, *Full Banach mean values on countable groups*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 146–156. MR MR0112053 (22 #2911)

[Kes59b] ———, *Symmetric random walks on groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1959), 336–354. MR MR0109367 (22 #253)

[OW07] R. Ortner and W. Woess, *Non-backtracking random walks and cogrowth of graphs*, Canad. J. Math. **59** (2007), no. 4, 828–844. MR MR2338235 (2008h:05057)

[Pie84] J.-P. Pier, *Amenable locally compact groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York), John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1984, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 767264 (86a:43001)

[Sar99] O. M. Sarig, *Thermodynamic formalism for countable Markov shifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **19** (1999), no. 6, 1565–1593. MR MR1738951 (2000m:37009)

[Sar03] ———, *Existence of Gibbs measures for countable Markov shifts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 6, 1751–1758 (electronic). MR MR1955261 (2004b:37056)

[Sta11] M. Stadlbauer, *An extension of Kesten's criterion for amenability to topological Markov chains*, arXiv:1110.2942v3 (2011).

[Woe00] W. Woess, *Random walks on infinite graphs and groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 138, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000. MR 1743100 (2001k:60006)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE OSAKA UNIVERSITY, 1-1 MACHIKANEYAMA TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043 JAPAN

E-mail address: `jaerisch@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp`